arXiv:1701.08559v1 [math.CA] 30 Jan 2017

Inversion of the convolution operators on a rectangular

Alexander Sakhnovich

Abstract

Using simultaneously two operator identities, we consider the inversion of the convolution operators on a rectangular. The structure of the inverse operators and of some corresponding forms, which are important in signal processing, is derived.

MSC(2010): 45E10, 47A05, 47A65

Keywords: Convolution operator on a rectangular, operator with difference kernel, inverse operator, special solutions, structure, operator identity.

1 Introduction

We consider a convolution operator S (or, equivalently, an operator S with difference kernel) of the form

$$Sf = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\int_\Omega s(x-t)f(t)dt \quad \big(x=(x_1,\,x_2),\ t=(t_1,\,t_2)\big), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\Omega = \{x:\ 0<x_1<\omega_1,\, 0<x_2<\omega_2\}, \quad s(x-t)=s(x_1-t_1,\,x_2-t_2). \tag{1.2}$$

We assume that $s(x)\in L^2(\widetilde{\Omega})$, where $\widetilde{\Omega}=\{x:\ |x_1|<\omega_1,\,|x_2|<\omega_2\}$, and so the integrals in (1.1) are well-defined for $f\in L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, we assume that the right-hand side of (1.1) is well-defined and that S is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$.

In one-dimensional case, the inversion of convolution operators is connected with the names of N. Wiener, E. Hopf, M.G. Krein, I.C. Gohberg, V.A. Ambartsumian, V. V. Sobolev, L.A. Sakhnovich and many other mathematicians and applied scientists. The inversion of convolution operators on a semi-axis (of Wiener-Hopf operators) was studied in various papers including the brilliant works [5,10] (see also, e.g., [2,3,6,11] and references therein). The situation with the inversion of convolution operators S on a finite interval is more complicated and essentially different from the case of semi-axis. One of the first works on the subject was again written by M.G. Krein [9]. Then, a procedure to recover the operator S^{-1}, from its action on two functions only, was published [17]. Further developments as well as various applications and references can be found in [18,19]. The method of operator identities, which was introduced in [17] (see also [16]), may be successfully used for the inversion of various other structured operators. See, for instance, [4,14] and a more detailed discussion with references in [15, Appendix D].

According to [19], "an important part in the theory of the equations with a difference kernel (on the interval) is played by the equations with a special right-hand side":

$$Sf(x,\lambda) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda x}.$$

The reflection coefficient in the light scattering theory coincides with the function

$$\rho(\lambda,\mu) = \left(S^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda x}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overline{\mu}x}\right)_\omega \quad (\lambda,\mu \in \mathbb{C}), \tag{1.3}$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)_\omega$ stands for the scalar product in $L^2(0,\omega)$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the complex plane. The structure of ρ derived in [18] (see also [19]) generalizes a well-known astrophysics result from [1,7,20].

The inversion of the convolution operators and the structure of the inverse operators in the two-dimensional case is much more complicated than in the one-dimensional case (similar to some other inversion and interpolation problems). In spite of several books (see, e.g., [12,21]) and many papers on multidimensional convolution operators, the problem to take simultaneously into account the dependence of the integral kernel of the operator on the difference of the arguments (with respect to both variables) remained

unsolved. This problem is essential in applications (e.g., in astrophysics and signal processing [8, 13]) and in factorization and interpolation theories.

In our paper we express the ρ-function

$$\rho(\lambda,\mu)=\int_0^{\omega_1}\int_0^{\omega_2}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mu x}\big(S^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda x}\big)(x_1,x_2)dx_1dx_2\ (\mu x=\mu_1x_1+\mu_2x_2),\quad(1.4)$$

where $x\in\Omega$ and $\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{C}^2$, in terms of a bounded operator acting from $L_2^2(0,\omega_i)$ into $L_2^2(0,\omega_k)$ $(i\neq k)$. Such an expression is a direct analog of [19, (1.3.12)], where ρ in one-dimensional case (see (1.3)) is expressed via four functions depending on one variable. Using the structure of ρ, we derive the structure of the operator, which is inverse to a convolution operator on Ω.

Here, the notation $\mathbf{1}$ stands for the function which identically equals 1 on the corresponding set, and col means column (e.g., $\operatorname{col}\begin{bmatrix}a & b\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}a\\ b\end{bmatrix}$). As usual, $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the real axis and $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the complex plane. The class of bounded operators acting from the Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}_1$ into the Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}_2$ is denoted by $B(\mathbf{H}_1,\mathbf{H}_2)$. When $\mathbf{H}_1=\mathbf{H}_2=\mathbf{H}$, we write $B(\mathbf{H})$ instead of $B(\mathbf{H},\mathbf{H})$.

2 Structures of the ρ-function and of the inverse operator

2.1 Bounded operators on a rectangular

Similarly to the proof of [19, Theorem 1.1.1] on the representation of the operators from $B\big(L^2(0,\ell)\big)$ (one-dimensional case), one can show that operators $Q\in B\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)$ admit representation

$$Qf=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\int_\Omega q(x,t)f(t)dt,\qquad(2.1)$$

where the integrals $\int_\Omega q(x,t)f(t)dt$ are generating functions of absolutely continuous (with respect to the planar Lebesgue measure) charges on Ω, and for each fixed $x\in L^2(\Omega)$ (and varying t) we have $q(x,t)\in L^2(\Omega)$. More precisely, we have

$$q(x,t)=\overline{\big(Q^*\chi_x\big)(t)},\qquad(2.2)$$

where

$$\chi_x(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \in \mathcal{U}_x = \{t:\ 0 < t_1 < x_1,\ 0 < t_2 < x_2\},$$
$$\chi_x(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \big(\Omega \backslash \mathcal{U}_x\big).$$

We consider a slightly more general than (1.1) class of bounded convolution operators, namely, operators of the form

$$Sf = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\int_\Omega q(x,t)f(t)dt, \quad q(x,t) = s(x-t) - s(x-t_1,-t_2) - s(-t_1,x_2-t_2) + s(-t), \quad (2.3)$$

where the expression $-s(x-t_1,-t_2) - s(-t_1,x_2-t_2) + s(-t)$ may be used for normalization purposes and disappears (after the differentiation of the integral in (2.3)) in the cases of comparatively smooth functions $s(x-t)$.

We always assume that $s(x) \in L^2(\widetilde{\Omega})$, for $\widetilde{\Omega} = \{x:\ |x_1| < \omega_1,\ |x_2| < \omega_2\}$. Without loss of generality we assume also that

$$\int_0^{\omega_1} s(-t_1,x_2)dt_1 = \int_0^{\omega_2} s(x_1,-t_2)dt_2 = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that if (2.4) does not hold, we may substitute $s(x)$ with

$$\widehat{s}(x) = s(x) - \frac{1}{\omega_1}\int_0^{\omega_1} s(-t_1,x_2)dt_1 - \frac{1}{\omega_2}\int_0^{\omega_2} s(x_1,-t_2)dt_2 + \frac{1}{\omega_1\omega_2}\int_\Omega s(-t)dt,$$

and the function $\widehat{s}$ satisfies (2.4).

2.2 Structure of the ρ-function

The ρ-function given by (1.4) admits representation

$$\rho(\lambda,\mu) = \Big((I-\mu_1A_1^*)^{-1}(I-\mu_2A_2^*)^{-1}S^{-1}(I-\lambda_2A_2)^{-1}(I-\lambda_1A_1)^{-1}\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}\Big)_\Omega,$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)_\Omega$ is the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{1}$ is the function which identically equals 1 (equals 1 on Ω in the formula above), and the operators $A_k \in B\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)$ are given by

$$A_1f = \mathrm{i}\int_0^{x_1} f(t_1,x_2)dt_1, \quad A_2f = \mathrm{i}\int_0^{x_2} f(x_1,t_2)dt_2. \quad (2.5)$$

Our approach is based on the simultaneous usage of two operator identities:

$$A_k S - S A_k^* = \mathrm{i}(M_{1k} M_{2k} + M_{3k} M_{4k}) \qquad (k = 1, 2). \tag{2.6}$$

More precisely, we use the next easy proposition. (Recall that we always assume that (2.4) is valid and that $s(x) \in L^2(\widetilde{\Omega})$.)

Proposition 2.1 *Let $S \in B\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)$ be an operator of the form (2.3).*

Then, the operator identities (2.6), where M_{1k}, $M_{3k} \in B\big(L^2(0, \omega_i),\, L^2(\Omega)\big)$, M_{2k}, $M_{4k} \in B\big(L^2(\Omega),\, L^2(0, \omega_i)\big)$ $(i \neq k)$,

$$\big(M_{11} f\big)(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int_0^{\omega_2} s(x_1, x_2 - t_2) f(t_2) dt_2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\big(M_{12} f\big)(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \int_0^{\omega_1} s(x_1 - t_1, x_2) f(t_1) dt_1; \tag{2.8}$$

$$\big(M_{21} f\big)(x_2) = \int_0^{\omega_1} f(t_1, x_2) dt_1, \quad \big(M_{22} f\big)(x_1) = \int_0^{\omega_2} f(x_1, t_2) dt_2; \tag{2.9}$$

$$\big(M_{31} f\big)(x) = f(x_2), \quad \big(M_{41} f\big)(x_2) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int_\Omega s(-t_1, x_2 - t_2) f(t) dt; \tag{2.10}$$

$$\big(M_{32} f\big)(x) = f(x_1), \quad \big(M_{42} f\big)(x_1) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \int_\Omega s(x_1 - t_1, -t_2) f(t) dt; \tag{2.11}$$

are valid.

The operator identities (2.6) may be rewritten in the more traditional form:

$$A_k S - S A_k^* = \mathrm{i} \Pi_k \widehat{\Pi}_k, \quad \Pi_k := \begin{bmatrix} M_{1k} & M_{3k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{\Pi}_k := \begin{bmatrix} M_{2k} \\ M_{4k} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $k = 1, 2$. Clearly, the operators A_k^* in (2.12) have the form

$$A_1^* f = -\mathrm{i} \int_{x_1}^{\omega_1} f(t_1, x_2) dt_1, \quad A_2^* f = \mathrm{i} \int_{x_2}^{\omega_2} f(x_1, t_2) dt_2. \tag{2.13}$$

We note that the integral kernels of the operators M_{1k} and M_{4k} given in (2.7), (2.8) and in (2.10), (2.11), respectively, depend on the difference of a one of two variables. Introducing integration operators $\mathcal{A}_k \in B\big(L^2(0, \omega_k)\big)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_1 f = \mathrm{i} \int_0^{x_1} f(t_1) dt_1, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 f = \mathrm{i} \int_0^{x_2} f(t_2) dt_2, \tag{2.14}$$

and operators $K_{1i} \in B\big(L^2(0,\omega_k),\, L^2(0,\omega_i)\big)$ $(k \neq i)$, $K_{2i} \in B\big(\mathbb{C},\, L^2(0,\omega_i)\big)$, $K_4 \in B\big(L^2(\Omega),\mathbb{C}\big)$:

$$K_{11}f = -\int_0^{\omega_2} s(x_1,-t_2)f(t_2)dt_2, \quad K_{2i}1 = \mathbf{1}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$K_{12}f = -\int_0^{\omega_1} s(-t_1,x_2)f(t_1)dt_1, \quad K_4 = \int_\Omega s(-t)f(t)dt, \tag{2.16}$$

one easily obtains, for instance, operator identities for M_{4k} (see the proposition below).

Proposition 2.2 *Let the conditions of Proposition 2.1 hold. Then the following operator identities are valid:*

$$\mathcal{A}_i M_{4k} - M_{4k}A_i^* = \mathrm{i}(K_{1i}M_{2i} + K_{2i}K_4) \qquad (i,k=1,2, \quad i \neq k). \tag{2.17}$$

Assuming that S has a bounded inverse operator, we express $\rho(\lambda,\mu)$ in terms of the operators g_{ik} $(i \neq k)$:

$$g_{ik} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{3i} \\ K_{1i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \widehat{\Pi}_k S^{-1} \Pi_i, \quad g_{ik} \in B\big(L_2^2(0,\omega_k),\, L_2^2(0,\omega_i)\big), \tag{2.18}$$

where the operators Π_i and $\widehat{\Pi}_k$ are given in (2.12), the operators K_{1i} are defined in (2.15) and (2.16), and

$$K_{3i} = \int_0^{\omega_k} \cdot\, dt_k \in B\big(L^2(0,\omega_k),\, L^2(0,\omega_i)\big), \quad i \neq k. \tag{2.19}$$

The operators g_{ik} and g_{ki} are connected via the relation

$$g_{ki} = -U_k J_k g_{ik}^* J_i U_i; \quad \big(U_i f\big)(x_i) = \overline{f(\omega_i - x_i)}, \quad J_i = \mathrm{i}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.20}$$

where J_i is acting in $L_2^2(0,\omega_i)\big)$ and U_i is acting (depending on the context) in $L_2^2(0,\omega_i)$ or in $L^2(0,\omega_i)$.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $S \in B\big(L^2(\Omega)\big)$ be a convolution operator of the form* (2.3) *(where $s(x) \in L^2(\widetilde{\Omega})$). Assume that S has a bounded inverse operator.*

Then the ρ-function introduced in (1.4) *has the forms*

$$\rho(\lambda,\mu)=\frac{1}{\mu_k-\lambda_k}\int_0^{\omega_i}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega\mu}\big(J_iU_i\psi_i(\mu,x_i)\big)^*\psi_i(\lambda,x_i)dx_i, \tag{2.21}$$

where $i=1$ or $i=2$ $(k=1,2;\ k\neq i)$, $\psi_i(\lambda,x_i)\in L_2^2(0,\omega_i)$,

$$\psi(\lambda,x)=\begin{bmatrix}\psi_1(\lambda,x_1)\\ \psi_2(\lambda,x_2)\end{bmatrix}=\theta(\lambda)G(\lambda)^{-1}\mathrm{col}\begin{bmatrix}0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 & \mathbf{1}\end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.22}$$

$$G(\lambda):=\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} I-\lambda_1\mathcal{A}_1 & 0 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{\multirow{2}{*}{$\mathrm{i}\lambda_2 g_{12}$}}\\ 0 & I-\lambda_1\mathcal{A}_1 & & \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\multirow{2}{*}{$\mathrm{i}\lambda_1 g_{21}$}} & I-\lambda_2\mathcal{A}_2 & 0\\ & & 0 & I-\lambda_2\mathcal{A}_2\end{array}\right], \tag{2.23}$$

col *in* (2.22) *means column, and the scalar function $\theta(\lambda)$ is the unique function of the form*

$$\theta(\lambda)=1+\lambda_1\lambda_2\int_\Omega\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda(\omega-x)}h(x)dx,\quad h\in L^2(\Omega), \tag{2.24}$$

such that the expression on the right-hand side of (2.22) *does not have poles or zeros.*

Here the operators $\mathcal{A}_k$ are introduced in (2.14)*, the operators U_i and J_i are introduced in* (2.20)*, and the operators g_{ik} are expressed (in terms of S and S^{-1}) in* (2.18)*. Moreover g_{12} is expressed via g_{21} and vice versa in* (2.20)*, and so the representations* (2.21) *are completely determined by g_{12} or, equivalently, by g_{21}.*

We have also a precise formula for $h(x)$ in (2.24):

$$h=S^{-1}y,\quad y(x):=s(x_1-\omega_1,x_2-\omega_2). \tag{2.25}$$

Remark 2.4 *Clearly, $\rho(\lambda,\mu)$ determines (see* (2.26)*) the inverse operator S^{-1}. According to Theorem 2.3, $\rho(\lambda,\mu)$ (and so the operator S^{-1}) is determined by g_{ik}.*

Recall that the operator S of the form (2.3) *is determined by its integral kernel or, equivalently, by the four functions $s(x)$, $s(-x)$, $s(x_1,-x_2)$ and $s(-x_1,x_2)$, where $x\in\Omega$. Since $g_{ik}\in B\big(L_2^2(0,\omega_k),\,L_2^2(0,\omega_i)\big)$, the integral kernel of the operator g_{ik} is also determined by some four functions on Ω.*

The considerations above confirm a heuristic principle *which, for the case of convolution operators on the interval, was formulated and proved in [18] (see also [17]). This principle states that the amount of information (i.e., the number of functions) which determines S coincides with the minimal amount of information which is necessary to exactly construct S^{-1}.*

2.3 Structure of the inverse operator

In view of (1.4), (2.1) and (2.2), the operator $T = S^{-1}$ admits representation

$$\big(Tf\big)(x) = -\frac{1}{16\pi^4}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\int_\Omega \Bigg(\text{l.i.m.}_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathcal{U}_r} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda t} \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mu_1\mu_2)^{-1}\rho(\lambda,\mu)(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mu_1 x_1}-1)(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mu_2 x_2}-1)d\mu d\lambda\Bigg) f(t)dt, \tag{2.26}$$

where l.i.m. stands for the limit in L^2-norm and

$$\mathcal{U}_r = \{\lambda : |\lambda_i| < r \quad (i=1,2)\}.$$

We note that the boundedness of the convolution operator S and of S^{-1} yields the boundedness of the operator Γ determined by the relation

$$\Gamma \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda x} = -\mathrm{i}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda_2 I & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_1 I\end{bmatrix}^{-1}\left(\psi(\lambda) - \mathrm{col}\begin{bmatrix}0 & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_1 x_1} & 0 & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_2 x_2}\end{bmatrix}\right), \tag{2.27}$$

and acting from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L_2^2(0,\omega_1)\oplus L_2^2(0,\omega_2)$.

Now, we formulate an inverse result.

Theorem 2.5 *Let a given operator g_{ik} (where $i=1$, $k=2$ or $i=2$, $k=1$) belong to $B\big(L_2^2(0,\omega_k), L_2^2(0,\omega_i)\big)$. Assume that the operator T, which is determined by g_{ik} via formula* (2.26) *and via the procedure to construct $\rho(\lambda,\mu)$ from Theorem 2.3, is bounded. Assume that the operator Γ (which is determined by g_{ik} via equality* (2.27)*, relation*(2.20) *and formulas from Theorem 2.3, namely, formulas* (2.22)-(2.24)*) is bounded as well.*

Then, if the inverse operator $S = T^{-1}$ exists and is bounded, this operator S is a convolution operator, that is, S admits representation (2.3)*.*

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to B. Kirstein and L. Sakhnovich for useful discussions. The research was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant No. P29177.

References

[1] V.A. Ambartsumian, *Scientific Works* (Russian), vol. 1, Nauka, Erevan, 1960.

[2] D.G. Crowdy and E. Luca, Solving Wiener-Hopf problems without kernel factorization, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 470 (2014), no. 2170, 20140304, 20 pp.

[3] A.F. dos Santos and F.S. Teixeira, Theory of a class of Wiener-Hopf equations of the first kind: application to the Sommerfeld problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 128 (1987), no. 1, 189–204.

[4] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, I.Ya. Roitberg and A.L. Sakhnovich, Operator identities corresponding to inverse problems, Indag. Math., New Ser. 23 (2012), no. 4, 690–700.

[5] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, Systems of integral equations on a half line with kernels depending on the difference of arguments, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 14 (1960), 217–287.

[6] I. Gohberg (Ed.), *Continuous and discrete Fourier transforms, extension problems and Wiener-Hopf equations*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 58, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.

[7] V.V. Ivanov, *Transfer of radiation in spectral lines*, US Department of Commerce, 1973.

[8] B. Jeuris and R. Vandebril, The Kähler mean of block-Toeplitz matrices with Toeplitz structured blocks, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 37 (2016), no. 3, 1151–1175.

[9] M.G. Krein, On a new method of solving linear integral equations of the first and second kinds, Morris D. Friedman, 2 Pine St., West Concord, Mass., 1955.

[10] M.G. Krein, Integral equations on the half-line with a kernel depending on the difference of the arguments, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 22 (1962), 163–288.

[11] M.G. Krein and Yu.L. Shmul'yan, Wiener-Hopf equations whose kernels admit an integral representation in terms of exponentials (I and II), part I in Soviet J. Contemporary Math. Anal. 17 (1982), no. 4, 71–93; part II, ibid. 17 (1982), no 5, 1–42.

[12] V.V. Napalkov, *Convolution equations in multidimensional spaces* (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1982.

[13] F. Nielsen and R. Bhatia (Eds), *Matrix information geometry*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[14] A.L. Sakhnovich, On a Method of Inverting Toeplitz Matrices, Math. Issled. 8 (1973), no. 4, 180–186.

[15] A.L. Sakhnovich, L.A. Sakhnovich and I.Ya. Roitberg, *Inverse problems and nonlinear evolution equations. Solutions, Darboux matrices and Weyl-Titchmarsh functions*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 47, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.

[16] L.A. Sakhnovich, Operators, similar to unitary operators, with absolutely continuous spectrum (Russian), Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 2 (1968), no.1, 51–63.

[17] L.A. Sakhnovich, An integral equation with a kernel dependent on the difference of the arguments, Mat. Issled. 8 (1973), no. 2, 138–146.

[18] L.A. Sakhnovich, Equations with a difference kernel on a finite interval (Russian), Math. Surveys 35 (1980), no. 4, 81–152.

[19] L.A. Sakhnovich, *Integral equations with difference kernels on finite intervals*, Second edition, revised and extended, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 84, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2015.

[20] V. V. Sobolev and D. ter Haar, *Light Scattering in Planetary Atmospheres*, Pergamon Press, 1975.

[21] V. V. Volchkov, *Integral geometry and convolution equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003.